

2014 BAICE Student Prize

For a paper presented at the 2014 BAICE Conference, Bath



If you are a BAICE Student Member and have given a paper at the BAICE Conference in Bath, you are invited to submit your paper for the BAICE student prize.

Deadline is Thursday 15th January, 2015

- The prize of £500 is for a paper presented by a student member at the Conference (8-10 September 2014) and fully written up afterwards.
- Entrants will be asked to provide a letter from their department that attests to either their student status or that they have completed a postgraduate course within the last 6 months prior to the deadline for submission.
- The paper should deal with a subject relating to comparative or international education, and may be conceptual or empirical in nature.
- No senior scholars or supervisors should have co-authored the paper. It should be the student's own work.
- The prize will be shared in the event of a jointly presented/written paper. All co-authors must conform to the same criteria as regards student status.
- The length of the paper should be 5,000-7,000 words.
- Papers should be submitted by 17.00 hours on Thursday, 15th January 2015 to the BAICE Secretary, Lizzi Milligan (Secretary@BAICE.ac.uk).
- Judgment criteria are found below. Papers must score at least 24 out of 30 points in order to be considered for the prize.
- The Association will not enter into correspondence with students who have not been awarded a prize. The decision of the judges, endorsed by the Executive, will be final.

Quality Point	Fair (1)	Good (2)	Excellent (3)
1. Originality: the paper should deal with a subject of interest within its scholarly field and make a creative contribution to knowledge.			
2. The aims of the work and the problems that it addresses should be clearly stated.			
3. Analysis of existing scholarship should be fair, accurate and relevant, and as far as possible should be analytical rather than descriptive.			
4. Methodological self-awareness: the candidate should show awareness of the premises, limitations and potentialities of his or her chosen methodology.			
5. Appropriacy of methodology: there should be an optimal fit between the needs of the theme and the type of methodology adopted.			
6. Structure: the paper should have a coherent, well-argued structure, and the various parts of it should be appropriately balanced.			
7. The author's interpretations and conclusions must be warranted by evidence adduced.			
8. Quality of the writing: the work must be clearly expressed and written in grammatically sound English.			
9. Referencing must be in keeping with an acceptable scholarly convention (e.g. that of the journal <i>Compare</i>) which has been consistently applied both within the paper and at the end of the text.			
10. General comments of the assessor.			
Total out of 30 points			